

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virguia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,020	11/24/2003	Akim Matsuda	032130	9168	
38834	7590 03/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			KOEHLER,	KOEHLER, ROBERT R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775		
			DATE MAN CD. 07/04/700	e	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,020	MATSUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
	Robert R. Koehler	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a left ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	• ·					
·— ·	is action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement					
	or oresten requirements					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03042004</u>; <u>05142004</u>. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,779,873 (Law, et al.).

Law, et al. discloses a nickel plating bath composition comprising nickel sulfamate and phosphoric acid having a pH less than 3. Law, et al. discloses a method of forming a resistance layer on a conductive base (nickel ferrite, copper) by using a sulfamate-phosphoric acid plating bath at a temperature of 35° C. See lines 46 to 53 in column 2 and lines 8 to 30 in column 3, including TABLE I.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kazanovtse, et al. (WPI World Patent Information Derwent, Vol. 29).

Kazanovtse, et al. discloses a nickel plating bath composition for the deposition of nickel-phosphorus alloys on a cathode such as copper or stainless steel. The nickel plating bath comprises nickel sulphamate, nickel chloride, orthophosphoric acid, phosphorous acid, and zinc phosphate. Kazanovtse, et al. discloses a method of forming a nickel-phosphorus alloy coating on a conductive substrate by using a sulphamate-orthophosphoric acid-phosphorous acid plating bath under the following conditions: pH = 1.2 to 1.6; temperature = 70 to 75° C; and current density of 30 A/dm². See the English-language Abstract in WPI World Patent Information Derwent.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,020

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/§ 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 to 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 4,888,574 (Rice, et al.).

The Examiner has interpreted claims 8 to 19 as being product-by-process claims because these claims incorporate limitations from method claims 5 to 7 and limitations from plating bath composition claims 1 to 4. The Examiner notes that limitations from plating bath composition claims 1 to 4 are incorporated in product-by-process claims 8 to 19 by the process limitation that a nickel-phosphorous alloy layer is "formed on the surface of the conductive base by a method of formation of a thin resistance layer in a plating bath". See claims 8, 9, and 10.

Rice, et al. discloses a multilayered printed circuit board material and a method for producing the board material. The circuit board comprises a substrate, an electrical resistance material layer, and a conductive material layer (for example, a conductive layer of copper foil). The resistance material layer comprises a nickel-phosphorous alloy having up to 30 weight percent phosphorous, and the Ni-P alloy layer is produced by an electroplating technique whereby the plating bath utilizes nickel carbonate, phosphoric acid, and phosphorous acid. The Examiner notes that Rice, et al. teaches away from the usage of sulfate salts and chloride salts,

Application/Control Number: 10/719,020

Art Unit: 1775

but Example 1 (column 3) does describe a nickel plating bath containing nickel sulfate and nickel chloride. Rice, et al. discloses plating bath temperatures and plating bath pH values which also lie within applicants' claimed temperature range and claimed pH value range. See lines 44 to 61 in column 1, lines 17 to 61 in column 2, and line 28 in column 3 to line 9 in column 5. Rice, et al. does not teach or suggest the usage of nickel plating baths that contain sulfamate ions, the usage of insoluble anodes, or the final surface roughness of the resistance layer. However, Rice, et al. does mention unexpected properties of the electroplated Ni-P resistance layer such as the absence of pitting whenever the nickel plating bath does not contain sulfate ions or chloride ions; see Example 5 in column 4. The Examiner believes that the nickel plating bath compositions and electroplating conditions disclosed by Rice, et al. would be expected to produce a nickel-phosphorous alloy layer on a conductive base that is suitable for a circuit board material because Rice, et al. discloses electroplated Ni-P alloys containing up to 30 weight percent phosphorous and the patent teaches electroplating conditions which are useful for obtaining a Ni-P alloy plating layer free of surface pitting.

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product-by-process claim(s) 8 to 19, as opposed to the product taught by the cited reference Rice, et al., such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. See also *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964. Any difference imparted by the product-by-process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the Examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that the claimed product is patentably distinct from the prior art product. See *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685 and *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,020

Art Unit: 1775

Claims 2 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The Examiner believes that the prior art does not teach, suggest, or disclose a *method* of forming a thin, nickel-phosphorous alloy resistance layer in a plating bath containing nickel ions, sulfamic acid or its salt, and at least one of phosphoric acid, phosphorous acid, hypophosphorous acid, and salts of the same by using an insoluble anode and a *plating bath* composition comprising (a) nickel ions, (b) sulfamic acid or its salt, (c) at least one of phosphoric acid, phosphorous acid, hypophosphorous acid, and salts of the same, (d) at least one of sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, and salts of the same, and (e) a plating bath pH not more than 6. See claims 7, 2, and 4.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Robert Koehler whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1536**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to

Art Unit: 1775

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

ROBERT R. KOEHLER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1775 February 25, 2005